Hyde Heath Puffin Crossing

Results of the Statutory Consultation

Author: Tara Rutland

Approved by: Stephen Lewis (Project Manager)

Approved by: Peter Smyth (Design Team Leader)

Date: 11/11/22



SUMMARY

A statutory consultation took place in Hyde Heath between 19 September and 10 October 2022 to seek views on implementing a new signalised puffin crossing on Weedon Hill, Hyde Heath outside of Hyde Heath Infant School. As part of these works, we will also be revoking the Traffic Regulation Order for the School Keep Clear Markings as they will be replaced with the new crossing markings. We are also proposing to introduce double yellow lines at the entrance of St Andrew Church to prevent unsafe parking.

Only the houses which are 'directly affected' by the proposals, meaning that there was a proposal directly outside of their house, would have received a letter as it would not be possible to deliver letters to all affected parties. However, all of the necessary information can be found online, and street notices were place on lamp posts near to the proposed crossing point.

Each road is broken down into different stakeholders who left feedback in the statutory consultation, these are grouped as 'residents', 'others' and if applicable, 'businesses/stakeholder groups.

Residents – This refers to people who live on the affected road.

Others – This refers to people who do not live on the affected road, however they may use the road, have family who live on the road, or use a business on the road.

Businesses/stakeholder groups – This refers to businesses, groups or councillors/councils who have commented but they may not necessarily own a premises on the affected road. For example, they could be a Parish or Town Council, community group, school or other type of business/charity.

The legal process for traffic regulation orders does not allow us to introduce new roads or proposals at this stage. Any additional comments will be forwarded to the local members to be taken into consideration for a decision for future schemes.

Total feedback	Objection	Full support	Part support	
72	0	72	0	
Feedback received from residents	Objection	Full support	Part support	Summary of feedback
6	0	6	0	All residents are in support of the proposals, with two residents commenting that this road can be dangerous to cross currently and that these restrictions are welcomed. One resident commented that they would like to see access protection markings across driveways to discourage unsafe and inconsiderate parking.
Feedback from others	Objection	Full support	Part support	Summary of feedback
64	0	64	0	There was overwhelming support for these proposals by all who responded to the consultation. While there is support for the crossing, we received a variety of responses regarding parking; some people would like to see more restrictions to prevent inconsiderate and hazardous parking, and others are concerned that this will reduce the amount of parking for school parents. A number of people also raised concerns that vehicles speed along the road currently and suggested measures to reduce speeds in the form of traffic calming. Two respondees would like to see double yellow lines at the junction by the village hall and shop.
Feedback from Businesses/Stakeholders	Objection	Full support	Part support	Summary of feedback
2	0	2	0	We received comments from the Chair of Governors who strongly supports these proposals. We also received comments from Thames Valley Police who have no objections to the proposals.
no amendments. Uni length to retain park				ning support for these proposals, and we recommend proceeding to implementation with fortunately, we are not able to reduce the size of the crossing as it is already at a minimum ing for parents. At this stage we are not able to introduce new roads or proposals, as will be forwarded to the local members to be taken into consideration for a decision for